

Performance Review Body Monitoring Report

Slovenia - 2020

This report is automatically generated from: sesperformance.eu

COPYRIGHT NOTICE© European Union, 2025AND DISCLAIMERThis report has been prepared for the European Commission by the Performance
Review Body of the Single European Sky (PRB).Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged. However, neither
the European Commission, nor any person acting on its behalf, may be held respon-
sible for the use which may be made of the information contained in this publication,
or for any errors which may appear, despite careful preparation and checking.

Performance Review Body of the Single European Sky | Rue de la Fusée 96, Office 50.659, 1130 Brussels

Office Telephone: +32 (0)2 234 7824 | cathy.mannion@prb.eusinglesky.eu | prb-office@prb.eusinglesky.eu | eu-single-sky.transport.ec.europa.eu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	OVE	RVIEW 3
	1.1	Contextual information · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1.2	Traffic (En route traffic zone) ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	1.3	Safety (Main ANSP) 4
	1.4	Environment (Member State) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	1.5	Capacity (Member State) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1.6	Cost-efficiency (En route/Terminal charging zone(s)) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 5
2	SAFE	STY - SLOVENIA 5
	2.1	PRB monitoring · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2.2	Effectiveness of Safety Management (EoSM) (KPI#1)•••••••••••••••••••••••••••6
	2.3	Occurrences - Rate of runway incursions (RIs) (PI#1) & Rate of separation minima infringe-
		<i>ments (SMIs) (PI#2)</i> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	ENV	IRONMENT - SLOVENIA 6
	3.1	PRB monitoring · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3.2	En route performance · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3.3	Civil-Military dimension • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4	CAP	ACITY - SLOVENIA 9
	4.1	PRB monitoring 9
	4.2	En route performance · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	COS	T-EFFIENCY - SLOVENIA 11
	5.1	PRB monitoring 11
	5.2	En route charging zone • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

1 OVERVIEW

1.1 Contextual information

National performance plan adopted following Commission Decision (EU) 2022/777 of 13 April 2022

List of ACCs 1 Ljubljana ACC

No of airports in the scope of the performance plan:

• ≥**80′K** 0

Exchange rate (1 EUR=) 2017: 1 EUR 2020: 1 EUR

Share of Union-wide: • traffic (TSUs) 2020 0.5% • en route costs 2020 0.5% Share en route / terminal costs 2020 100% / 0% En route charging zone(s) Slovenia Terminal charging zone(s) Main ANSP • Slovenia Control

Other ANSPs

MET Providers • Slovenian Environment Agency (ARSO)

1.2 Traffic (En route traffic zone)



• Slovenia recorded 195K actual IFR movements in 2020, -58% compared to 2019 (460K).

• Slovenia IFR movements reduced more than the average reduction at Union-wide level (-57%).



- Slovenia recorded 264K actual en route service units in 2020, -58% compared to 2019 (627K).
- Slovenia service units reduced more than the average reduction at Union-wide level (-57%).

^{• &}lt;**80'K** 0

1.3 Safety (Main ANSP)



• Slovenia Control achieved the RP3 EoSM targets in four out of five management objectives and only needs to further improve in the safety risk management objective.

• The achieved maturity levels are consistent with the planned levels included in the draft 2019 performance plan. Slovenia Control, together with the NSA, implemented multiple review processes and continuous monitoring to ensure a continued high safety performance.

• Slovenia had a good performance with respect to safety occurrences with no occurrences recorded neither for SMIs nor RIs.

• Slovenia Control should improve its SMS by implementing automated safety data recording systems.

1.4 Environment (Member State)



• Slovenia achieved a KEA performance of 1.51% compared to its reference value of 1.68% and therefore contributed positively towards the Union-wide target.

• The actual KEA performance is close to the shortest constrained routes, suggesting that within the current airspace design, airspace users are flying the most optimum routes. Therefore, Slovenia should consider whether the current airspace design will support the traffic recovery and take into account any differences in traffic flows that may occur.

• Slovenia has no airports that are regulated under the RP3 performance and charging scheme.

1.5 Capacity (Member State)



Average en route ATFM delay per flight by delay groups

• Slovenia Control registered near zero minutes of average en route ATFM delay per flight during 2020, thus meeting the local breakdown value of 0.23.

• Delays must be considered in the context of the traffic evolution: IFR movements in 2020 were 58% below the 2019 levels in Slovenia.

• Slovenia reported no capacity issues and no changes in ATCO FTE numbers in 2020 compared to 2019. On-the-job training of three ATCOs was stopped due to the pandemic, which explains the 4% difference between actual and planned ATCO

• The share of delayed flights with delays longer than 15 minutes in Slovenia decreased by 29.81 p.p. compared to 2019.

• The yearly total of sector opening hours in Ljubljana ACC was 14,797, showing a 22.9% decrease compared to 2019.

• Ljubljana ACC registered 10.18 IFR movements per one sector opening hour in 2020, being 45.0% below 2019 levels.



1.6 Cost-efficiency (En route/Terminal charging zone(s))

planation has been provided regarding this decrease.

2 SAFETY - SLOVENIA

2.1 PRB monitoring

• Slovenia Control achieved the RP3 EoSM targets in four out of five management objectives and only needs to further improve in the safety risk management objective.

• The achieved maturity levels are consistent with the planned levels included in the draft 2019 performance plan. Slovenia Control, together with the NSA, implemented multiple review processes and continuous monitoring to ensure a continued high safety performance.

• Slovenia had a good performance with respect to safety occurrences with no occurrences recorded neither for SMIs nor RIs.

• The 2020 actual service units (264K) were 57% lower than the actual service units in 2019 (618K).

• Slovenia reduced total costs in 2020 by 2.6 M€2017 (-8%) compared to 2019 actual costs. The reduction is primarily driven by a decrease in staff costs of 2.7 M€2017 (-13%), resulting from delay of ATCOs employment.

• Slovenia Control spent 2 M€2017 in 2020 related to cost of investments, 59% less than planned in the 2019 draft performance plan (4.9 M€2017). Costs related to other investments and existing investments decreased compared to the plan. No ex• Slovenia Control should improve its SMS by implementing automated safety data recording systems.

2.2 Effectiveness of Safety Management (EoSM) (KPI#1)



EoSM - Slovenia Control

Focus on EoSM

Four out of five EoSM components of the ANSP meet already the 2024 target level. Only the component "Safety Risk Management" is below 2024 target level, at level C. Improvements in safety risk management are still expected during RP3 to achieve 2024 targets.

2.3 Occurrences - Rate of runway incursions (RIs) (PI#1) & Rate of separation minima infringements (SMIs) (PI#2)



3 ENVIRONMENT - SLOVENIA

3.1 PRB monitoring

• Slovenia achieved a KEA performance of 1.51% compared to its reference value of 1.68% and therefore contributed positively towards the Union-wide target.

• The actual KEA performance is close to the shortest constrained routes, suggesting that within the current airspace design, airspace users are flying the most optimum routes. Therefore, Slovenia should consider whether the current airspace design will support the traffic recovery and take into account any differences in traffic flows that may occur.

• Slovenia has no airports that are regulated under the RP3 performance and charging scheme.

3.2 En route performance

3.2.1 Horizontal flight efficiency of the actual trajectory (KEA) (KPI#1), of the last filed flight plan (KEP) (PI#1) & shortest constrained route (SCR) (PI#2)







3.3 Civil-Military dimension



RAI & RAU via available conditional routes (PIs#7 & 8)

RAI & RAU via available restricted and segregated airspace (PIs#7 & 8)



Focus on Civil-Military dimension

Update on Military dimension of the plan

Environment: No impact on environment. Capacity: No impact on capacity.

Military - related measures implemented or planned to improve environment and capacity

Environment: N/A Capacity: N/A

Initiatives implemented or planned to improve PI#6

No data available

Initiatives implemented or planned to improve PI#7

No data available

Initiatives implemented or planned to improve PI#8

No data available

4 CAPACITY - SLOVENIA

4.1 PRB monitoring

• Slovenia Control registered near zero minutes of average en route ATFM delay per flight during 2020, thus meeting the local breakdown value of 0.23.

• Delays must be considered in the context of the traffic evolution: IFR movements in 2020 were 58% below the 2019 levels in Slovenia.

• Slovenia reported no capacity issues and no changes in ATCO FTE numbers in 2020 compared to 2019. On-the-job training of three ATCOs was stopped due to the pandemic, which explains the 4% difference between actual and planned ATCO FTEs in 2020.

• The share of delayed flights with delays longer than 15 minutes in Slovenia decreased by 29.81 p.p. compared to 2019.

• The yearly total of sector opening hours in Ljubljana ACC was 14,797, showing a 22.9% decrease compared to 2019.

• Ljubljana ACC registered 10.18 IFR movements per one sector opening hour in 2020, being 45.0% below 2019 levels.

4.2 En route performance

4.2.1 En route ATFM delay (KPI#1)







Focus on en route ATFM delay

Summary of capacity performance

The Ljubljana FIR experienced a traffic reduction of 58% from 2019 levels, to 195k flights. The traffic level was accommodated with practically zero ATFM delays to airspace users.

NSA's assessment of capacity performance

Operationally no issues, needed capacity provided throughout 2020, no major COVID19 infections experienced due to effective protective measures implemented in all areas.

Monitoring process for capacity performance

No data available

Capacity planning

No data available

Application of Corrective Measures for Capacity (if applicable)

No data available

4.2.2 Other indicators

ATCOs in operation - Slovenia Control ATCOs in operation per ACC - 2020 64 70 60 ATCOs in OPS (FTEs) ATCOs in OPS (FTEs) 60 40 50 20 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 0 🔶 Actual 🗕 Planned LJLA

Sector opening hours - Slovenia Control



Focus on ATCOs in operations

Ljubljana ACC: OJT training stopped for 3 ATCOs due Covid-19.

5 COST-EFFIENCY - SLOVENIA

5.1 PRB monitoring

• The 2020 actual service units (264K) were 57% lower than the actual service units in 2019 (618K).

• Slovenia reduced total costs in 2020 by 2.6 M€2017 (-8%) compared to 2019 actual costs. The reduction is primarily driven by a decrease in staff costs of 2.7 M€2017 (-13%), resulting from delay of ATCOs employment.

• Slovenia Control spent 2 M€2017 in 2020 related to cost of investments, 59% less than planned in the 2019 draft performance plan (4.9 M€2017). Costs related to other investments and existing investments decreased compared to the plan. No explanation has been provided regarding this decrease.

5.2 En route charging zone

5.2.1 Unit cost (KPI#1)







Actual and determined da

Total costs - nominal (M€)	2020-2021	2022	2023	2024
Actual costs	61	NA	NA	NA
Determined costs	63	35	36	37
Difference costs	-2	NA	NA	NA
Inflation assumptions	2020-2021	2022	2023	2024
Determined inflation rate	NA	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%
Determined inflation index	NA	106	107.8	109.7
Actual inflation rate	NA	NA	NA	NA
Actual inflation index	NA	NA	NA	NA
Difference inflation index (p.p.)	NA	NA	NA	NA



Focus on unit cost

AUC vs. DUC

In the combined year 2020-2021, the en route AUC (93.23 \notin 2017) was lower by -8.1% (or -8.21 \notin 2017) compared with the DUC (101.44 \notin 2017). This was the combined effect of the lower than planned en route costs in real terms (-3.4%, -2.1 M \notin 2017) and higher total TSU (+5.1%).

En route service units

The actual TSUs exceed the planned level (+5.1%) and fall between the $\pm 2\%$ dead band and $\pm 10\%$ threshold. Hence the resulting gain will be shared between the airspace users and the ANSPs.

En route costs by entity

Actual en route costs are -3.4% lower than planned (-2.1 M€2017) which is mainly driven by the lower costs for Slovenia Control (-3.4% or -1.8 M€2017). Actual 2020-2021 costs for METSP are higher by +3.1% (or +0.1 M€2017), while NSA/EUROCONTROL costs are lower by -6.5% (or -0.3 M€2017).

En route costs for the main ANSP at charging zone level

The lower than planned en route costs in real terms for Slovenia Control (-3.4%, or -1.8 M€2017) result from:

- lower than planned staff costs, by -3.7% (or -1.3 M€2017), due to lower salaries that remained in place in Q4 2021, to the same extent as for the Q1-Q3;

- lower other operating costs by -6.5% (or -0.5 M€2017), due to optimized/postponed contracts (equipment & telecommunication rentals);
- higher depreciation costs by +1.3% (or +0.1 M€2017); and,
- lower cost of capital by -2.1% (or -0.1 M€2017).

5.2.2 Actual unit cost incurred by the users (AUCU) (PI#1)



AUCU components (€/SU) – 2020-2021					
Components of the AUCU in 2020-2021	€/SU				
DUC	104.56				
Inflation adjustment	0.45				
Cost exempt from cost-sharing	-0.52				
Traffic risk sharing adjustment	-1.90				
Traffic adj. (costs not TRS)	-0.65				
Finantial incentives	0.00				
Modulation of charges	0.00				
Cross-financing	0.00				
Other revenues	-5.98				
Application of lower unit rate	0.00				
Total adjustments	-8.59				
AUCU	95.97				
AUCU vs. DUC	-8.2%				

0 -50 -100 -150 0 -150 -250 -250 -300 -327.6 2020-2021 2022 2023 2024

Cost exempt from cost sharing

Cost exempt from cost sharing by item - 2020-2021	€′000	€/SU
New and existing investments	0.0	0.00
Competent authorities and qualified	-147.4	-0.23
entities costs		
Eurocontrol costs	-180.3	-0.28
Pension costs	0.0	0.00
Interest on loans	0.0	0.00
Changes in law	0.0	0.00
Total cost exempt from cost risk sharing	-327.6	-0.52

5.2.3 Regulatory result (RR)



Share of RR in AUCU

RR - Slovenia Control

Net result from en route activity - Slovenia Control 2020-2021



Focus on regulatory result

Slovenia Control net gain on activity in the en route charging zone in the combined year 2020-2021

Slovenia Control's net gain amounts to +3.6 M€, mainly due to the gains of +2.0 M€ from the cost sharing mechanism and of +1.6 M€ from the traffic risk sharing mechanism.

Slovenia Control overall regulatory results (RR) for the en route activity

Ex-post, the overall RR taking into account the net gain from the en route activity mentioned above (+3.6 M€) and the actual RoE (+1.7 M€) amounts to +5.3 M€ (9.3% of the en route revenues). The resulting ex-post rate of return on equity is 32.3% which is higher than the 10.4% planned in the PP.